

# OPTIMIZATION & NONLIN EQS (MA 784) — HW 1

## Fundamentals

**Due on January 29 (Thursday), by the start of the class.** Please turn in your solutions to me, on paper. (*Hints are available if needed, but give it a serious try by yourself first.*)

1. Construct a polynomial that has a finite, unattained infimum.
2. Determine the gradient of the function  $\ln(\det(\cdot))$  at  $\mathbf{X}$ , assuming that  $\mathbf{X}$  is a matrix with positive determinant (i.e., the function is well-defined).
3. In this sequence of questions, you will derive Farkas' Lemma from first principles (without using any convex analysis or even linear algebra). There are many equivalent forms of this statement; here is one:

**Theorem (Farkas' Lemma)** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then exactly one of the following statements holds:*

- (a) *There exists an  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ .*
- (b) *There exists a  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  satisfying  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} < 0$ .*

The approach is to reduce this to the mutually exclusive possibilities of the minimum of an unconstrained optimization problem being 0 or not. Throughout, let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the Euclidean norm (2-norm), and let  $(\cdot)_- : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the componentwise *negative part* function defined as

$$(\mathbf{x})_- = \begin{bmatrix} -\min(x_1, 0) \\ -\min(x_2, 0) \\ \vdots \\ -\min(x_n, 0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Consider the  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  function  $f$  defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \|(\mathbf{x})_-\|^2.$$

Show that this is a convex and differentiable function that takes only nonnegative values. What is  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ ?

- (b) Show that  $f$  attains a minimum.
- (c) Argue that the minimum value of  $f$  is 0 *if and only if* the first alternative of the Theorem holds.
- (d) Based on the above, the second alternative should be equivalent to the following scenario:  $f$  has a minimizer, but its function value is positive. Use this observation to complete the proof of the theorem.

4. **Extra credit** (Almost Fermat's last theorem.) Let

$$F(a, b, c, n) = (a^n + b^n - c^n)^2 + \sin(a\pi)^2 + \sin(b\pi)^2 + \sin(c\pi)^2 + \sin(n\pi)^2.$$

Show that

$$\inf \{F(a, b, c, n) \mid a \geq 1, b \geq 1, c \geq 1, n \geq 3\} = 0.$$

(If you can show directly that the infimum is not attained, do let me in on the secret!)

5. **Extra credit** (A challenging derivative.) Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed, convex, non-empty set, and  $f(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{x})\|^2$  the “squared-distance-from- $X$ ” function. ( $\Pi_X$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $X$  operator.) Show that  $f$  is a differentiable function whose gradient is given by  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2(\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{x}))$ .